

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES.....	ii
INTEREST OF AMICI.....	1
STATEMENT OF THE CASE.....	2
SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT.....	4
ARGUMENT.....	6
PADILLA’S INDEFINITE DETENTION BY MILITARY AUTHORITIES VIOLATES CORE CONSTITUTIONAL PRINCIPLES.....	6
A. <i>Milligan</i> Continues to Stand for the Constitutional Primacy of Civilian Authority.....	8
B. <i>Quirin</i> Does Not Sanction the President’s Unilateral Imposition of Military Detention in Padilla’s Case.....	13
C. <i>Milligan</i> ’s Holding Has Been Reaffirmed Since <i>Quirin</i>	23
D. The Executive’s Use of the Term “War” Does Not Resolve the Constitutionality of Padilla’s Detention.....	27
CONCLUSION.....	30

